

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,294	10/15/2004	Klaus Lenhart	Q98835	2233
23373 7590 09/21/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLL.C			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		GARCIA, ERNESTO		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/511,294	LENHART, KLAUS			
Examiner	Art Unit			
ERNESTO GARCIA	3679			

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

Statue			

WI	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. chansions of time may be available under the processors of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed three SK (6) MONTH's from the mailine date of this communication.
- II	Interest of the Control of the Contr
Status	
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2009.
,-	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispos	sition of Claims
4)[☑ Claim(s) <u>8-16.21 and 23-26</u> is/are pending in the application.
,-	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)[☑ Claim(s) <u>8-10,12,15,16,21,23 and 26</u> is/are rejected.
7)[☑ Claim(s) 11.24 and 25 is/are objected to.
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applic	cation Papers
9)[☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 January 2007</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) ② objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachn	nonte)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsporson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/2/09.	6) Other:

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Amendment

The claims amendment has been corrected per 37 CFR 1.121. The status identifier of claims 21 and 23 has been changed to --(withdrawn-currently amended)--since these claims were previously withdrawn by the examiner.

Election of Species

Claims 13 and 14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on September 20, 2006.

Regarding the reinstatement of previously withdrawn claims 21 and 23, these claims are now readable on the elected species I, Figures 1 and 2, as the axial slots 43, 44 extend over most but not all of an entire axial length of the radially spreadable element 16.

Art Unit: 3679

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the spreadable element being contactable with each limit stop (claim 1 and 10, lines 14-16) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Note that Figure 1 and 2 just shows the spreadable element 16 only in contact with limit stop element 26.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner.

Art Unit: 3679

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "only one single inner cone" recited in claims 8, 10, 15, and 16, lines 9-10, and "the radially spreadable element is moveable axially within the distance between the limit stops, including the gap distance, without rotation thereof and is contactable with each limit stop" recited in claim 8, lines 14-16.

Claim Objections

Claims 8, 10, 15, and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: regarding claims 8, 10, 15, and 16, "thereof" in line 15 should be defined.

Further, the subject matter of these claims is directed to "an adjustable-length pole" but has no mention that the inner tube is actually inserted in the outer tube to render adjustability. Accordingly, these claims should recite that the inner tube is actually inserted in the outer tube to render an adjustable-length pole. This adjustability of the two tubes only becomes possible until claim 26; and.

Art Unit: 3679

regarding claim 16, "" in line 25 should be --the--. Appropriate correction is required. For purposes of examining the instant invention, the examiner has assumed these corrections have been made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 8, 9, 12, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenhart, DE-29,706,849, in view of Neuheiten, CH-267,177.

Regarding claim 8, Lenhart discloses, in Figures 1 and 5, an adjustable-length pole comprising at least one outer tube 12, an inner tube 11 structured, an adjusting screw 118', a radially spreadable element 116, and an axially moveable interior element 117. The inner tube 11 is dimensioned for insertion into the outer tube 12 in a telescoping fashion. A limit stop 19 is disposed at an end 13 of the inner tube 11. The adjusting screw 118' is axially oriented within the outer tube 12, non-rotatable with respect to the inner tube 11, and supported in a fixed manner on the end of the inner tube 11. A limit stop 126 is disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw 118'. The spreadable element 116 has a non-threaded bore A1 (see marked-up attachment provided in the last Office action) and an inner cone 122'. The inner cone 122' opens towards the end of the inner tube 11. The spreadable element 116 is disposed with its axial length between the limit stop 19 disposed at the end of the inner tube 11 and the limit stop 126 disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw 118'. A distance A2

Application/Control Number: 10/511,294
Art Unit: 3679

between the limit stops 19, 126 is larger than the axial length of the radially spreadable element 116 by a gap distance A3 such that the spreadable element 116 is able to move axially within the distance between the limit stops including the gap distance A3. The spreadable element 116 is able to contact the limit stop disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw 118'. The interior element 117 has an internal threaded bore A4 (note that the interior element is threaded as that shown in DSI, DE-8,004,343) and outer cone 127' structured, dimensioned, and disposed for cooperation with the inner cone 122'. The interior element 117 is screwed onto the adjusting screw 118' and able to axially move with respect to the inner tube 11 by rotation thereof via the internal threaded bore A4. The spreadable element 116 and the interior element 117 cooperate and form a spreading device axially supported at the end of the inner tube 11. However, Lenhart fails to disclose the inner cone 122' being only one single inner cone of the spreadable element since spreadable element has two inner cones. Neuheiten teaches, between Figures 5 and 6, that a spreading element can have one inner cone or two inner cones as similarly taught in Lenhart. Neuheiten teaches alternative configurations in order to minimize parts so that the lower cone only holds (see machine translation provided in the last Office action). Therefore, as taught by Neuheiten, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the inner cone 122' of Lenhart be the only one single inner cone to minimize the number of parts as an alternative configuration so that the lower cone only holds.

Art Unit: 3679

Regarding claim 9, the pole is a ski or a walking stick.

Regarding claim 12, the limit stop **126** disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw is a cap that is axially secured at the free end of the adjusting screw **118**' is a cap axially secured at the free end of the adjusting screw after the radially spreadable element has been set in place.

Regarding claim 26, the inner tube and the spreading device are inserted into the outer tube and, by a rotation of the inner tube with respect to the outer tube, the spreading device clamps the inner tube to the outer tube.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenhart, DE-29,706,849, in view of Neuheiten, CH-267,177, and further in view of DSI, DE-8,004,343U1.

Regarding claim 10, Lenhart discloses, in Figures 1 and 5, an adjustable-length pole comprising at least one outer tube 12, an inner tube 11 structured, an adjusting screw 118', a radially spreadable element 116, and an axially moveable interior element 117. The inner tube 11 is dimensioned for insertion into the outer tube 12 in a telescoping fashion. A limit stop 19 is disposed at an end 13 of the inner tube 11. The adjusting screw 118' is axially oriented within the outer tube 12, non-rotatable with respect to the inner tube 11, and supported in a fixed manner on the end of the inner

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/511,294 Art Unit: 3679

tube 11. A limit stop 126 is disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw 118'. The spreadable element 116 has a non-threaded bore A1 (see marked-up attachment) and an inner cone 122'. The inner cone 122' opens towards the end of the inner tube 11. The spreadable element 116 is disposed with its axial length between the limit stop 19 disposed at the end of the inner tube 11 and the limit stop 126 disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw 118'. A distance A2 between the limit stops 19, 126 is larger than the axial length of the radially spreadable element 116 by a gap distance A3 such that the spreadable element 116 is able to move axially within the distance between the limit stops including the gap distance A3. The spreadable element 116 is able to contact the limit stop disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw 118'. The interior element 117 has an internal threaded bore A4 and outer cone 127' structured. dimensioned, and disposed for cooperation with the inner cone 122'. The interior element 117 is able to axially move with respect to the inner tube 11 by rotation thereof via the internal threaded bore A4. The spreadable element 116 and the interior element 117 cooperate and form a spreading device axially supported at the end of the inner tube 11. However, Lenhart fails to disclose the inner cone 122' being only one single inner cone of the spreadable element since the spreadable element has two inner cones, and the radially spreadable element being configured as a pot having a base penetrated by a free end area of the adjusting screw, facing away from the inner tube 11.

Art Unit: 3679

Neuheiten teaches, between Figures 5 and 6, that a spreading element can have one inner cone or two inner cones as similarly taught in Lenhart. Neuheiten teaches alternative configurations in order to minimize parts. Therefore, as taught by Neuheiten, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the inner cone 122' of Lenhart be the only one single inner cone to minimize the number of parts as an alternative configuration.

DSI teaches, in Figure 1, a radially spreadable element 10 configured as a pot having a base penetrated by a free end area of an adjusting screw 5 facing away from the inner tube 3 as an alternative configuration for a radially spreadable element 10 with having only one single inner cone (the conical surface). Therefore, as taught by DSI, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the configuration of the spreadable element 116, as modified by Neuheiten, with that of DSI to use with the spreading element modified to have only one single inner cone.

Claims 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenhart, DE-29,706,849, in view of Neuheiten, CH-267,177, and further in view of Kupski, 3,145,669.

Regarding claim 15, Lenhart discloses, in Figures 1 and 5, an adjustable-length pole comprising at least one outer tube 12, an inner tube 11 structured, an adjusting

Art Unit: 3679

screw 118', a radially spreadable element 116, and an axially moveable interior element 117. The inner tube 11 is dimensioned for insertion into the outer tube 12 in a telescoping fashion. A limit stop 19 is disposed at an end 13 of the inner tube 11. The adjusting screw 118' is axially oriented within the outer tube 12, non-rotatable with respect to the inner tube 11, and supported in a fixed manner on the end of the inner tube 11. A limit stop 126 is disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw 118'. The spreadable element 116 has a non-threaded bore A1 (see marked-up attachment provided in the last Office action) and an inner cone 122'. The inner cone 122' opens towards the end of the inner tube 11. The spreadable element 116 is disposed with its axial length between the limit stop 19 disposed at the end of the inner tube 11 and the limit stop 126 disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw 118'. A distance A2 between the limit stops 19, 126 is larger than the axial length of the radially spreadable element 116 by a gap distance A3 such that the spreadable element 116 is able to move axially within the distance between the limit stops including the gap distance A3. The interior element 117 has an internal threaded bore A4 and outer cone 127' structured, dimensioned, and disposed for cooperation with the inner cone 122'. The interior element 117 is screwed onto the adjusting screw 118' and able to axially move with respect to the inner tube 11 by rotation thereof via the internal threaded bore A4. The spreadable element 116 and the interior element 117 cooperate and form a spreading device axially supported at the end of the inner tube 11. The spreading element 116 has axial slots (see Figure 6). However, Lenhart fails to disclose the inner cone 122' being only one single inner cone of the spreadable element since spreadable

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/511,294

Art Unit: 3679

element has two inner cones. Further, Lenhart fails to disclose the interior element 117 having protruding fins respectively guided in the axial slots of the spreading element 32.

Neuheiten teaches, between Figures 5 and 6, that a spreading element can have one inner cone or two inner cones as similarly taught in Lenhart. Neuheiten teaches alternative configurations in order to minimize parts. Therefore, as taught by Neuheiten, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the inner cone 122' of Lenhart be the only one single inner cone to minimize the number of parts as an alternative configuration.

Kupski teach, in Figure, 5, an interior element 17 having protruding fins 33 guided in axial slots 30 of a spreading element 16 to prevent the interior element from rotating relative to the spreading element. Therefore, as taught by Kupski, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a fin in the interior element of Lenhart guided in the axial slots of the spreading element of Lenhart to prevent the interior element from rotating relative to the spreading element. Given the modification, the axial slots would have had an axial length larger than an axial length of the fins. Further, the axial slots and the radially protruding fins would have structurally cooperated to permit the interior element to move axially as the adjusting screw is rotated with respect to the interior element without rotation of the radially spreadable element.

Art Unit: 3679

Regarding claim 21, given the modification, the axial slots extended over most but not all of an entire axial length of the radially spreadable element.

Claims 16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenhart, DE-29,706,849, in view of Neuheiten, CH-267,177, and further in view of DSI, DE-8,004,343U1, and Kupski, 3,145,669.

Regarding claim 16, Lenhart discloses, in Figures 1 and 5, an adjustable-length pole comprising at least one outer tube 12, an inner tube 11 structured, an adjusting screw 118', a radially spreadable element 116, and an axially moveable interior element 117. The inner tube 11 is dimensioned for insertion into the outer tube 12 in a telescoping fashion. A limit stop 19 is disposed at an end 13 of the inner tube 11. The adjusting screw 118' is axially oriented within the outer tube 12, non-rotatable with respect to the inner tube 11, and supported in a fixed manner on the end of the inner tube 11. A limit stop 126 is disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw 118'. The spreadable element 116 has a non-threaded bore A1 (see marked-up attachment provided in the last Office action) and an inner cone 122'. The inner cone 122' opens towards the end of the inner tube 11. The spreadable element 116 is disposed with its axial length between the limit stop 19 disposed at the end of the inner tube 11 and the limit stop 126 disposed on the free end of the adjusting screw 118'. A distance A2 between the limit stops 19, 126 is larger than the axial length of the radially spreadable element 116 by a gap distance A3 such that the spreadable element 116 is able to

Art Unit: 3679

move axially within the distance between the limit stops including the gap distance A3. The interior element 117 has an internal threaded bore A4 and outer cone 127' structured, dimensioned, and disposed for cooperation with the inner cone 122'. The interior element 117 is screwed onto the adjusting screw 118' and able to axially move with respect to the inner tube 11 by rotation thereof via the internal threaded bore A4. The spreadable element 116 and the interior element 117 cooperate and form a spreading device axially supported at the end of the inner tube 11. The spreading element 116 has axial slots (see Figure 6).

However, Lenhart fails to disclose the inner cone 122' being only one single inner cone of the spreadable element since the spreadable element has two inner cones, and the radially spreadable element being configured as a pot having a base penetrated by a free end area of the adjusting screw, facing away from the inner tube 11. Further, Lenhart fails to disclose the interior element 117 having protruding fins respectively guided in the axial slots of the spreading element 32.

Neuheiten teaches, between Figures 5 and 6, that a spreading element can have one inner cone or two inner cones as similarly taught in Lenhart. Neuheiten teaches alternative configurations in order to minimize parts. Therefore, as taught by Neuheiten, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the inner cone 122' of Lenhart be the only one single inner cone to minimize the number of parts as an alternative configuration.

Art Unit: 3679

DSI teaches, in Figure 1, a radially spreadable element 10 configured as a pot having a base penetrated by a free end area of an adjusting screw 5 facing away from the inner tube 3 as an alternative configuration for a radially spreadable element 10 with having only one single inner cone (the conical surface). Therefore, as taught by DSI, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the configuration of the spreadable element 116, as modified by Neuheiten, with that of DSI to use with the spreading element modified to have only one single inner cone.

Kupski teach, in Figure, 5, an interior element 17 having protruding fins 33 guided in axial slots 30 of a spreading element 16 to prevent the interior element from rotating relative to the spreading element. Therefore, as taught by Kupski, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a fin in the interior element of Lenhart guided in the axial slots of the spreading element of Lenhart to prevent the interior element from rotating relative to the spreading element. Given the modification, the axial slots would have had an axial length larger than an axial length of the fins. Further, the axial slots and the radially protruding fins would have structurally cooperated to permit the interior element to move axially as the adjusting screw is rotated with respect to the interior element without rotation of the radially spreadable element.

Art Unit: 3679

Regarding claim 23, given the modification, the axial slots would have extended over most but not all of an entire axial length of the radially spreadable element.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 24, and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

regarding claim 11, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest an adjustable-length pole comprising a radially spreadable element comprising a cylindrical shoulder having a smaller exterior diameter than a base of the spreadable element and facing an inner tube (lines 1-3) in combination with the spreadable element having a non-threaded bore and only a single inner cone (claim 8, lines 9-10). The closest prior art, Lindemann et al., 6,027,087, teach, in Figure 7, a shoulder 45A having a smaller exterior diameter than the base. However, the shoulder does not face the inner tube but rather the outer tube, or between the base and a top portion of the spreading element; and,

regarding claims 24 and 25, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest an adjustable-length pole comprising regarding a radially spreadable element

Art Unit: 3679

comprising a shoulder having axial slots that extend to but not entirely through the shoulder portion that is proximate to an inner limit stop (lines 1-3) in combination with the spreadable element having a non-threaded bore and only a single inner cone (claims 15 and 16, lines 9-10). The closest prior art would have suggested axial slots that end before the shoulder as taught by Lovrinch et al., 2,955,504, and DSI, DE-8004343.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 22, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the examiner has not cited prior art to teach the modification of Lenhart to incorporate the limitation that the radially spreadable element is "contactable with each limit stop". First of all, applicant is tackling Lenhart alone when the combined teachings of both Lenhart and Neuheiten would have suggested the claimed invention. With regards to the contact argument, it should be noted that the rejected claims do not indicate that contact be direct as in "directly in contact". Other components can just be in between and thus indirectly in contact with the stop elements. Note that Neuheiten alone, in Figure 6, teaches this contact feature if one were to remove the inner tube 7 from the outer tube 6. In Neuheiten, the spreadable element 18' can simply be slid axially in either direction and contact component 14 and

Art Unit: 3679

the edge of the inner tube. There's nothing in Neuheiten that would prevent the spreadable element from contacting while the tubes are separated. Also, note that there is no indication in the claims that the inner tube is actually inserted in the outer tube. Further, it should be noted that patentability is based on the structure and not on the functions of the components. See MPEP 2114.

Conclusion

Applicant should also note that Figure 6 in Neuheiten, CH-267177, can be modified alternatively such that the fixed taper 20 is separated and axially threaded into the threaded shank 12, as taught in DSI, or Lenhart, DE-29,706,849.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3679

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 571-272-7083. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30AM-6:00PM. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached at 571-272-7087.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3679

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/E. G./

Examiner, Art Unit 3679

September 21, 2009

/Daniel P. Stodola/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3679

Page 19